EVENT	SIGNIFICANCE
1867 - Canadian Constitution Act, 1867	When hearing constitutional cases, Courts must follow both
	the written text and the principles underlying the
(Note: The <i>Constitution</i> is the supreme law of the land in	Constitution Act (1867). This means they must follow the
Canada. All other laws must be consistent with its	principle of 'respect for minorities', including language
provisions.)	rights.
1969 – The first Official Languages in New Brunswick Act	-This Act was the first attempt in New Brunswick to
(1969)	recognize language rights in provincial matters.
- The first Official Languages Act (federal)	-The federal Act was past with the attempt to recognize
	language right at the federal level.
1973 - Parliamentary Resolution on Official Languages in	This resolution was passed in 1973 by the federal
the Public Service (federal)	government declaring that English and French speaking
	people would enjoy equality of status in all institutions of
	Parliament and of the Government of Canada.
1981 - An Act Recognizing the Equality of the Two Official	This Act was adopted in New Brunswick to recognize the
Linguistic Communities in New Brunswick	two official linguistic communities and ensure that both
	obtain equal rights and opportunities under the law.
1982 - Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, ¹ 1982.	The Charter guarantees language rights. This means
	Canadians have the right to use either the English or
(Note: The Charter is part of a package of reforms contained	French languages in communications with the
in the Canadian Constitution Act, 1982. The constitution is a	federal government and certain provincial
set of laws with rules about how Canada operates, including	governments. French and English minorities in
the powers of the federal government and provincial	every province and territory also have the right
governments. Because it is the supreme law of the land, all	to be educated in their own language. Distinct
laws must comply with it or they are invalid.)	education and cultural institutions, as provided in the
	<i>Charter</i> , are considered essential to the preservation and
	promotion of the language communities
1988 - New federal Official Languages Act came into	This new federal <i>Official Languages Act</i> was adopted in
effect	1988 and the <i>Official Language Regulations</i> were adopted in
enect	1991. These not only gave effect to language right
	guarantees in the <i>Charter</i> , but also set out the criteria for
	communications and delivery of services in English and
	French within the federal public service and to the public.
1993 – Charter amended	Article 16(2) of the <i>Charter</i> gives equal status and rights to
	both official languages in all provincial government
(Note: New Brunswick chose to acknowledge its two	institutions in New Brunswick. Brunswick is a bilingual
distinct societies, French and English, in an amendment to	province. The <i>Charter</i> also confirms the role of the
the <i>Charter</i> .)	
the <i>Charter</i> .)	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving
the <i>Charter</i> .)	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official
	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities.
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20,
	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> <i>Beaulac</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution.
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> <i>Beaulac</i>	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New
1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> Beaulac 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities
 1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> <i>Beaulac</i> 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois (Note: The New Brunswick Court of Appeal made a 	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities would have to adopt, print and publish their municipal by-
 1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> Beaulac 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois (Note: The New Brunswick Court of Appeal made a decision in December 2001 dealing with bilingualism and 	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities would have to adopt, print and publish their municipal by- laws in both official languages where percentages warrant it.
 Beaulac 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois (Note: The New Brunswick Court of Appeal made a decision in December 2001 dealing with bilingualism and municipal bylaws. This case is referred to as the Charlebois 	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities would have to adopt, print and publish their municipal by- laws in both official languages where percentages warrant it. The percentage of the population used as a benchmark would
 1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> Beaulac 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois (Note: The New Brunswick Court of Appeal made a decision in December 2001 dealing with bilingualism and municipal bylaws. This case is referred to as the Charlebois decision, after the Moncton businessman who filed the suit 	legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities would have to adopt, print and publish their municipal by- laws in both official languages where percentages warrant it.
 1999 – Supreme Court of Canada decision on <i>R. v.</i> Beaulac 2001- Moncton (City) v. Charlebois (Note: The New Brunswick Court of Appeal made a decision in December 2001 dealing with bilingualism and municipal bylaws. This case is referred to as the Charlebois 	 legislature and government of New Brunswick in preserving and promoting the status, rights and privileges of the official linguistic communities. The judgment of the Supreme Court in <i>Beaulac</i> on May 20, 1999, laid down principles that the courts should be guided by in interpreting language rights. The language used in the judgment determines the need to adopt a liberal approach based on the principle of equality and the need to protect official language minorities, which must, in all cases, prevail in the interpretation of the language provisions in the Canadian Constitution. The Court decided that cities and municipalities in New Brunswick with French or English language minorities would have to adopt, print and publish their municipal bylaws in both official languages where percentages warrant it. The percentage of the population used as a benchmark would

	new Act to govern official language rights in the province. This Act was a response of the New Brunswick government to the important transformations of language rights in the Charter and the Charlebois decision. The new <i>Official</i> <i>Languages of New Brunswick Act</i> addresses the limitations of the previous law.
2003 – Office of the Commissioner of Official Languages for New Brunswick	The first Commissioner of Official Languages was appointed in 2003. The Office of the Commissioner was opened in April, 2003.